# Lie ideal and Generalized $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Derivations in Prime Rings

Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy

Associate Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati – 517502, Andhra Pradesh, India.

## C. Venkata Sai Raghavendra Reddy

Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Bengaluru campus, Bangalur, Karnataka, 560035, India.

### K. Nagesh

Research Scholar, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract: Let *R* be a prime ring, *I* be a non-zero lie ideal of *R*.Suppose that  $F: R \to R$  be a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on *R* associated with  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $g: R \to R$  respectively and  $\tau(I) \neq 0$ . In this paper, we studied the following identities in prime rings:

(i)  $F(uv) \pm u\sigma(v) = 0$ ; then g(U) = (0) and  $F(u) = \mp u$  for all  $u \in U$ 

(ii)  $F(uv) \pm \sigma(vu) = 0$ ; then  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ . g(U) = (0) and  $F(u) = \mp u$  for all  $u \in U$ 

(iii)  $F(u)F(v) \pm \sigma(uv) = 0$  g(U) = (0) and  $U \subseteq Z(R)$  for all  $u \in U$ 

(iv)  $F(u)F(v) \pm \sigma(vu) = 0 g(U) = (0)$  and  $U \subseteq Z(R)$  for all  $u \in U$ .

Keywords: Prime ring, Derivation, Generalized derivation, ( $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ )-derivation, Generalized ( $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ )-derivation.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Bresar in [2], first time introduced the notion of generalized derivation. In 1992, Daif et al. in [4], proved a result which is given as let *R* be a semiprime ring, *I* be a non zero ideal of *R* and *d* be a derivation on *R* such that d([x, y]) = [x, y], for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $I \subseteq Z(R)$ . In 2002, Ashraf and Rehman [1] extended the result of Daif et al. [4] by replacing ideal to lie ideal. In 2003, Quadri et al. in [7] extended the result of Ashraf et al. [1] on generalized derivation given as let *R* be a prime ring with characteristic different from two, *I* be a nonzero ideal of *R* and *F* be a generalized derivation on *R* associated with a derivation *d* on *R* such that F([x, y]) = [x, y], for all  $x, y \in I$ , then *R* is commutative. Golbasi et al. in [5] extended the result of Quadri et al. [7] by replacing ideal to lie ideal. Recently, S.K. Tiwari et al. in [8] studied Multiplicative (generalized)-derivation in semiprime rings. Further ChiragGarg et al. in [3] studied on generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations in prime rings. In this paper we extended of S.K. Tiwari et al. in [8], we have proved some results on generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations in prime rings.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper *R* denote an associative ring with center *Z*. Recall that a ring *R* is prime if  $xRy = \{0\}$  implies x = 0 or y = 0. For any  $x, y \in R$ , the symbol [x, y] stands for the commutator xy - yx and the symbol (xoy) denotes the anticommutator xy + yx. Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be any two automorphisms of *R*. For any  $x, y \in R$ , we set  $[x, y]_{\sigma,\tau} = x\sigma(y) - \tau(y)x$  and  $(xoy)_{\sigma,\tau} = x\sigma(y) + \tau(y)x$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \to R$  is called a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \to R$  is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \to R$  is called a generalized derivation, if there exists a derivation  $d: R \to R$  such that F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \to R$  is called a generalized derivation, if there exists a derivation  $d: R \to R$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ .

(1)

(2)

Throughout this paper, we shall make use of the basic commutator identities:

[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z,

[xy,z] = [x,z]y + x[y,z],

$$(xo(yz)) = (xoy)z - y[x, z] = y(xoz) + [x, y]z,$$

 $[xy,z]_{\sigma,\tau}=x[y,z]_{\sigma,\tau}+[x,\tau(z)]y=x[y,\sigma(z)]+[x,z]_{\sigma,\tau}y,$ 

 $[x, yz]_{\sigma, \tau} = \tau(y)[x, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, y]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(z), (xo(yz))_{\sigma, \tau} = (xoy)_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(z) - \tau(y)[x, z]_{\sigma, \tau} = \tau(y)(xoz)_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, y]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(z),$ 

$$(xy)oz)_{\sigma,\tau} = x(yoz)_{\sigma,\tau} - [x,\tau(z)]y = (xoz)_{\sigma,\tau}y + x[y,\sigma(z)].$$
  
3. MAIN TEXT

**Lemma 1:** [Bergen et al. (1981), Lemma 3] Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* be a Lie ideal of *R*. If  $U \notin Z(R)$ , then  $C_R(U) = Z(R)$ .

**Lemma 2:** [Bergen et al. (1981), Lemma 4] If  $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$  is a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime ring R and  $a, b \in R$  are such that  $a \cup b = (0)$ , then a = 0 or b = 0.

**Lemma 3:** [Rehman (2002), Lemma 2.6] Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* be a Lie ideal of *R*. If *U* is a commutative Lie ideal of *R*, then  $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* be a non zero square closed Lie ideal of *R*. If *R* admits a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $F: R \to R$  associated with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation the map  $g: R \to R$  such that  $F(uv) \pm u\sigma(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ , then g(U) = (o) and  $F(U) = \mp U$  for all  $u \in U$ .

Proof: Assume first that  $F(uv) - u\sigma(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace v by 2vw in (1), we get

$$F(2uvw) - u\sigma(2vw) = 0$$
$$F(2uv)\sigma(w) + \tau(2uv)g(w) - u\sigma(2v)\sigma(w) = 0$$
$$2(F(uv) - u\sigma(v)\sigma(w) + \tau(uv)g(w)) = 0$$

Since *R* is 2-torsion free prime ring, we get

$$(F(uv) - u\sigma(v)\sigma(w) + \tau(uv)g(w)) = 0$$

Using equation (1), in the above equation we get

$$\tau(uv)g(w) = 0$$
, for all  $u, v, w \in U$ 

We replace u by  $[u, r], r \in R$  in equation(2), we get

$$\tau([u,r]v)g(w)=0$$

$$\tau(urv - ruv)g(w) = 0$$

Using equation (2), the above equation become

$$\tau(urv)g(w) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(u)\tau(r)\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

(3)

$$\tau(u)R\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

Since R is prime ring and U is a non zero lie ideal of R, we get

$$\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$
, for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace v by  $[v, r], r \in R$  in equation(3), we get

$$\tau([v, r])g(w) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(vr - rv)g(w) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(vr)g(w) - \tau(rv)g(w) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(v)\tau(r)g(w) - \tau(r)\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

Using equation (3), the above equation becomes

$$\tau(v)\tau(r)g(w) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(v)Rg(w) = 0$$

Since R is prime ring and U is non zero Lie ideal of R, we have

$$g(U) = 0 \tag{4}$$

 $F(uv) = F(u)\sigma(v) + \tau(u)g(v)$ 

From equation (4), we get

$$F(uv) = F(u)\sigma(v), \text{for all } u, v \in U$$
(5)

Substitute equation (5) in equation (1), we get

$$F(u)\sigma(v) - u\sigma(v) = 0$$

 $(F(u) - u)\sigma(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace v by  $[v, r], r \in R$  in equation (6), we get

$$(F(u) - u)\sigma([v, r]) = 0$$
$$(F(u) - u)(\sigma(vr) - \sigma(rv)) = 0$$

$$(F(u) - u)\sigma(v)\sigma(r) - (F(u) - u)\sigma(r)\sigma(v) = 0$$

Using equation (6) in the above equation, we get

$$(F(u) - u)\sigma(r)\sigma(v) = 0$$

 $(F(u) - u)R\sigma(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

Using prime ness of R we conclude that

F(u) = u, for all  $u \in U$ 

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

In similar manner, we can prove the result for the cause  $F(uv) + u\sigma(v) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

There by the proof of the theorem is completed.

**Theorem2:** Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* be a non zero square closed Lie ideal of *R*. If *R* admits a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - derivation  $F: R \to R$  associated with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation the map  $g: R \to R$  such that  $F(uv) \pm \sigma(vu) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ , then  $U \subseteq Z(R), g(U) = (o)$  and  $F(U) = \mp \sigma(U)$ , for all  $u \in U$ .

**Proof:** suppose  $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$ 

By the assumption, we have

 $F(uv) - \sigma(uv) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace v by 2vw in (7), we get

 $F(2uvw) - \sigma(2uvw) = 0$ 

 $F(2uv)\sigma(w) + \tau(2uv)g(w) - \sigma(2uvw) = 0$ 

Using 2-torsion free in (7) and using (6), we get.

$$\sigma(vu)\sigma(w) + \tau(uv)g(w) - \sigma(uvw) = 0$$
  
$$\sigma(vuw - vwu) + \tau(uv)g(w) = 0$$

$$\sigma(v)\sigma[u,w] + \tau(uv)g(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U$$

We replace w by u in (8), we get

$$\tau(uv)g(u) = 0$$
, for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace v by rv in equation (9), we get

$$\tau(urv)g(u) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(u)\tau(r)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(u)R\tau(v)g(u) = 0$$

R is prime ring and U is non zero lie ideal of R

$$\tau(v)g(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U$$
(10)

Again we replace v by  $vr, r \in R$  in equation (10), we get

$$\tau (vr)g(u) = 0$$
  
$$\tau (v)\tau (r)g(u) = 0$$
  
$$\tau (v)Rg(u) = 0$$

Since R is prime and U is non zero lie ideal of R

$$g(U) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U \tag{11}$$

We replace w by v and using equation (11) in equation (8), we get

 $\sigma(v)\sigma[u, v] = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace *u by 2wu* in equation (12), we get

 $\sigma(v)\sigma[2wu,v] = 0$  $\sigma(v[2wu,v]) = 0$ 

Using 2-torsaion free ness in above equation

$$\sigma(v[wu, v]) = 0$$
  
$$\sigma(vw[u, v] + v[w, v]u) = 0$$

$$\sigma(vw)\sigma([u,v] + \sigma(v)\sigma([w,v])\sigma(u) = 0$$

Using (12), the above equation becomes

$$\sigma(v)\sigma(w)\sigma([u,v]=0$$

$$\sigma(v)R\sigma[u,v]=0$$

Since R is prime ring and U is non zero lie ideal of R, we get

 $\sigma[u, v] = 0$ , since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism

$$[u, v] = 0$$

Using lemma 3, we get  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ , a contradiction

Therefore, we must have  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ 

 $F(uv) = F(u)\sigma(v) + \tau(u)g(v) = F(u)\sigma(v)$ 

Given that  $F(uv) - \sigma(vu) = 0$ 

$$F(u)\sigma(v) - \sigma(uv) = 0$$
$$(F(u) - \sigma(u))\sigma(v) = 0$$

We replace v by rv in the above equation, we get

$$(F(u) - \sigma(u))\sigma(rv) = 0$$
$$(F(u) - \sigma(u))\sigma(r)\sigma(v) = 0$$

 $(F(u) - \sigma(u))R\sigma(v) = 0$ . Since *R* is primering and  $\sigma$  is an automorphism

 $F(u) = \sigma(u)$  in the similar manner, we can prove our conclusions when  $F(uv) + \sigma(vu) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ , there by the proof of the theorem is completed.

**Theorem 3:** Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* be a non zero square closed Lie ideal of *R*. If *R* admits a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivatiom  $F: R \to R$  associated with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation the map  $g: R \to R$  such that  $F(u)F(v) \pm \sigma(uv) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ , then g(U) = (0) and  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ , and  $[F(u), \sigma(u)] = 0$ , for all  $u \in U$ .

**Proof:**  $F(u)F(v) - \sigma(uv) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace v by 2vw in equation (13), we get

(13)

(16)

$$F(u)F(2vw) - \sigma(2uvw) = 0$$

$$F(u)F(2v)\sigma(w) - \sigma(2uvw) + 2F(u)\tau(v)g(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U$$

Using 2-torsion freeness the above equation becomes

$$(F(u)F(v) - \sigma(uv))\sigma(w) + F(u)\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

Using (13) in the above equation becomes

$$F(u)\tau\{v)g(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U$$
(14)

Left multiply equation (14) by F(t), we get

$$F(t)F(u)\tau\{v)g(w) = 0, \text{for all } u, v, w \in U$$
(15)

Using (13) in (15), we get

 $\sigma(tu)\tau(v)g(w) = 0$ , for all  $u, v, w \in U$ 

We replace t by [t, r],  $r \in R$  in the equation (16), we get

$$\sigma([t,r]u)\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

$$(\sigma(tru) - \sigma(rtu))\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

Using (16), the above equation becomes

$$\sigma(t)\sigma(r)\sigma(u)\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

$$\sigma(t)R\sigma(u)\tau(v)g(w) = 0$$

Since R is prime ring and U is a non zero lie ideal of R we find that

$$\sigma(u)\tau(v)g(w) = 0, \text{for all } u, v, w \in U$$
(17)

Following the same technique twice we finally we get

$$g(U) = (0), \text{for all } u, v, \epsilon U \tag{18}$$

Now  $F(uv) = F(u)\sigma(v) + \tau(u)g(v)$ 

Using (18), in the above equation, we get

$$F(uv) = F(u)\sigma(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in U$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

We replace u by 2uv in (13), we get

$$F(u2v)F(v) - \sigma(2uv^2) = 0$$

$$F(u)\sigma(2v)F(v) - \sigma(2uv^2) = 0$$

Since R is 2-torsion free ring, we obtain

$$F(u)\sigma(v)F(v) - \sigma(uv^2) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U$$
(20)

Right multiply by  $\sigma(v)$  to equation (13), we get

$$F(u)F(v)\sigma(v) - \sigma(uv^2) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U$$
(21)

Subtracting equation (20) with equation (21), we get

$$F(u[F(v), \sigma(v)] = 0$$
, for all  $u, v \in U$ 

Using u by 2uw and using (19), we get

$$F(u2w[F(v),\sigma(v)] = 0$$

 $2F(u)\sigma(w)[F(v),\sigma(v)]=0$ 

Using 2-torsion free ring of R, we have

 $F(u)\sigma(w)[F(u),\sigma(v)] = 0$ 

It follows that  $[F(u), \sigma(u) \cup [F(u), \sigma(u)]] = 0$ 

Lemma 2 gives  $[F(u), \sigma(u)] = 0$ 

And the same condition is obtain if  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ 

In similar manner we can prove the same conclusion holds for  $F(u)F(v) + \sigma(uv) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ .

**Theorem 4:** Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* be a non zero square closed Lie ideal of *R*. If *R* admits a generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $F: R \to R$  associated with the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation the map  $g: R \to R$  such that  $F(u)F(v) \pm \sigma(v u) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ , then  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ , and g(U) = (0), for all  $u \in U$ .

**Proof:** suppose on contrary  $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$ 

We assume that

 $F(u)F(v) - \sigma(uv) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

We replace v by 2vu in equation (23), we get

 $F(u)F(2vu) - \sigma(2vu^2) = 0$ 

$$F(u)F(2v)\sigma(u) - 2\sigma(vu^2) + 2F(u)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$$

 $(F(u)F(2v) - \sigma(2vw))\sigma(u) + 2F(u)\tau(v)g(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U$ 

Using (23) in (24), we get

$$2F(u)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$$

Using 2-torsion free ring, we get

 $F(u)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$ , for all  $u, v, w \in U$ 

Left multiplicative equation (25) by F(w) and using

 $F(w)F(u)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$   $\sigma(uw)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$   $\sigma(u)\sigma(w)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$  $\sigma(u)\sigma(w)\tau(v)g(u) = 0$ 

 $\sigma(u)U(v)g(u)=0$ 

(25)

(23)

(24)

(22)

By lemma2 we have for each  $u \in U$  either  $\sigma(u) = 0$  ie U = 0 or  $\tau(v)g(u) = 0$ 

We replace v by vr in the above equation

$$\tau(v)\tau(r)g(u) = 0$$
  
$$\tau(v)Rg(u) = 0$$

Since *R* is prime, we get

g(U) = 0, for all  $u \in U$ 

Now replacing v by  $v^2$  and using the fact g(U) = 0, we get

$$F(u)F(v)\sigma(v) - \sigma(v^2u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U$$
(26)

Right multiplying equation (23) by  $\sigma(v)$  and substracting from (26), we get

 $\sigma(v)\sigma[v, u] = 0$  then by the same argument as given in the proof the theorem 2, we have

 $U \subseteq Z(R)$ .

 $F(u)F(v) - \sigma(uv) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$ 

This is view of theorem 3, we get g(U) = (0)

In the similar manner, we can prove that the same conclusion holds for  $F(u)F(v) + \sigma(vu) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in U$ .

## **References:**

- [1] Ashraf, M., Rehman, N.: "On commutativity of rings with derivations", Results Math. 42(2002), 3-8.
- [2] Bresar, M.: "On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations", Glasgow Math. J. 33(1991), 89-93.
- [3] Charg, G, Sharma, R. K.: "On generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivations in prime rings", Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, doi: 10.1007/s12215-015-0227-5(2015), 1-10.
- [4] Daif, M.N., Bell, H.E.: "Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings", Internat J. Math. Math. Sci. 15(1992), 205-206.
- [5] Golbasi, O., Koc, E.: "Generalized derivations of Lie ideals in prime rings", Turk. J. Math. 35(2011), 23-28.
- [6] Lee, P. H., Lee, T. K.: "Lie ideals of prime rings with derivations", Bull.Inst.Math.Acad.Sinica 11(1983), no.1, 75-79.
- [7] Quadri, M.A., Khan, M.S., Rehman, N.: "Generalized derivations and commutativity of rings. Indian J. Pure App. Math. 34(2003), 1393-1396.
- [8] Tiwari, S. K., Sharma, R. K., Dhara, B.: "Multiplicative (generalized)-derivation in semiprime rings", Beitr Algebra Geom, doi: 10.1007/s13366-015-0279-x(2015), 1-15.